INTRODUCTION

Healthcare associated infection (HCAI) can be defined as an infection occurring in a patient during the process of care in hospital or any other healthcare facility which was not present or incubating at the time of admission.\(^1\) As per World Health Organization (WHO) fact sheet HCAIs have between 3.5–12% prevalence in high income countries and 5.7–19.1% prevalence in low to middle income countries. These infections have resulted in 99,000 deaths in the USA and 37,000 deaths in Europe with estimated annual financial losses of $6.5 billion and €7 billion respectively in 2011. Despite paucity of quality data in low income countries, recent analyses have found that HCAIs are more frequent in resource limited countries.\(^2\) The rate of intensive care unit acquired infections was reported 2–3 times higher and the rate of device associated infections was 13 times higher in low income settings.\(^3\)

The WHO has tested its multi-modal hand hygiene improvement strategy at various pilot sites between 2006–2008 including Pakistan.\(^4\) Infectious diseases remain pandemic cause of mortality in Pakistan. According to a study at Karachi, Pakistan, 29.13% of patients acquired HCAIs out of which 30.1% were respiratory tract infections, 39.1% urinary tract infections (UTIs) and 23.7% blood borne infections.\(^4\)

Foregoing in view, our study was planned to highlight the role of healthcare workers in spreading of HCAIs. Previous studies have identified gaps in knowledge of infection control methods and years of experience of healthcare workers.\(^5,6\) Studies have also shown the advantages of effective infection control and its impact on healthcare systems.\(^7,8\) Simple components of the standard precautions have shown to reduce the risk of HCAIs. These include hand hygiene and adherence to the WHO hand hygiene protocol.\(^9,10\) HCAI prevention can be achieved through multi-dimensional programmes. An Indonesian study found 22% decline in inappropriate antibiotic usage after practice of multi-targeted infection control.\(^11\) Foregoing in view, the purpose of our study was to assess gaps in knowledge and practices of healthcare workers with regard to infection control methods.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was conducted at Pakistan-Emirates Military Hospital, Rawalpindi, Pakistan. This cross-sectional analytical study surveyed the knowledge and practices of infection control among two groups of healthcare workers, i.e., doctors and nurses including medical and operation theatre assistants. The data were collected using structured and validated questionnaire. All data were gathered after informed consent and were anonymised. The relevant questions were obtained from valid published literature. Cronbach’s alpha internal consistency coefficient of 0.71 confirmed the reliability of questionnaire.\(^12-15\)
Questionnaire comprised of 40 binary (Yes/No) questions: 24 knowledge-based, 12 practice-based and 4 questions regarding resources used to acquire knowledge by healthcare workers. Percentage scores as per established literature were labelled as follows: 0–69% were categorized as poor and ≥70% were categorized as good.12

Convenience sampling method was used. Data were analysed using SPSS-22. Mean and standard deviation were calculated for numerical variables whereas frequency and percentage for categorical variables. Chi-Square test and Student’s t-test were used for inferential analysis. Alpha was kept at 0.05.

RESULTS
A total of 250 questionnaires were distributed out of which 198 were returned establishing response rate of 79.2%. Of the 198 respondents, 115 (58.1%) were doctors and 83 (41.9%) nurses, 106 (53.5%) were females and 92 (46.5%) males with an average of 4.2 years of work experience.

Comparison of mean scores of knowledge and practice between the two groups is shown in Table-1 along with p-value. Frequency comparisons of knowledge and practice categories between the two groups are shown in Tables-2 and 3.

**Table-1: T-test comparison of mean knowledge and practice scores of doctors and nurses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Knowledge score</th>
<th>Practice score</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Doctor</td>
<td>21.09±5±2.39</td>
<td>9.33±1±2.11</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>18.45±7±2.89</td>
<td>9.31±3±2.13</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table-2: Chi-square cross-tabulation of occupation and knowledge categories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Knowledge Category</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Doctor</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant

**Table-3: Chi-square cross tabulation of occupation and practice categories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Practice Category</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Doctor</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

The Centre for Disease Control (CDC) reports that almost 1.7 million hospitalised patients acquire HCAIs and more than 98,000 people die annually.13 Suspected numbers of HCAIs might be greater than documented as shown by a study on infection control policies and practice in Pakistan16 at Islamabad and on hospital infection control at Karachi.17

Our study reported gaps in knowledge of doctors and nurses regarding HCAIs. It showed that doctors perhaps due to their extensive curricular study were more knowledgeable compared to nurses. This relative deficiency in knowledge of nurses was not reported in previous studies in Nigeria and Ethiopia.12,14 The nursing staff is more intensively and practically engaged with the patients therefore it is important that they have sound knowledge base. A 2015 study conducted at Karachi, Pakistan about nursing education reported that most nursing staff does not fulfill the requirement of Pakistan Nursing Council. Shorter diploma courses and unsupervised bedside practice with lack of appropriate knowledge therefore increase risks of contamination through nurses.15

Our study also revealed that practices of doctors and nurses showed no significant differences. The scores of both groups of healthcare workers were below satisfactory. These results agreed with previous studies in Nigeria, Italy, Ethiopia, and Pakistan.12,18,19 Such low scores signify lack of application of knowledge. Reasons for such insufficiency in practices are manifold like careless attitude of healthcare workers, lack of effective policy and programmes for HCAI control, lack of time to observe all relevant precautions, lack of authority overseeing implementation of safety protocols, resource limitation, cost of medical sanitation and equipment etc.

The best attempted questions were regarding transmission of HIV, Hepatitis B, Hepatitis C and needle stick injuries. This can be attributed to the strict protocol regarding needle stick injuries employed by hospital under consideration over the last two decades. This is encouraging especially since the WHO reported that globally 40% of HBV infections among HCWs are because of occupational exposure.10,20

Even though our study has not found variability in doctor and nurse practices regarding hand hygiene various previous studies have shown relatively poor observance of hand hygiene protocols by doctors than nurses.21,22 The reasons for higher scores of questions about blood borne pathogens compared to hand hygiene can be related to healthcare workers complacency, indolence, workload, time deficit, skin irritations, allergies to gloves or sanitizer formula etc. A simulation study in Pittsburgh reported that 79.2% of healthcare workers did not know how to use personal protective equipment properly highlighting importance of not only being well equipped but also being well-trained.23 Knowledge of post exposure prophylaxis and immunization maintenance were also lower than most answers either due to lack of knowledge or the costs of immunization.

CONCLUSION

The areas of knowledge and practices related to hospital acquired infections need improvement. The former more in nurses, and the latter both in doctors and nurses.
This highlights the need to train healthcare workers especially nurses in terms of their knowledge.
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